Preliminary communication

Synthesis, isolation, and some reactions of hydroxycarbonyland alkoxycarbonylruthenium complexes

Hiroharu Suzuki*, Hideki Omori, and Yoshihiko Moro-oka

Research Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 227 (Japan)

(Received February 5th, 1987)

Abstract

The reaction of $[(C_5Me_5)Ru(CO)_3]BF_4$ with hydroxide and alkoxide anions in toluene results in the formation of hydroxycarbonyl- and alkoxycarbonyl-ruthenium(II) complexes, $(C_5Me_5)Ru(CO_2R)(CO)_2$ (2: R = H, Me, Et, ⁱPr, ⁱBu). Treatment of 2 with CF₃SO₃CH₃ yields the cationic dialkoxycarbene complexes $[(C_5Me_5)Ru=C(OMe)(OR)(CO)_2]CF_3SO_3$. Both photolysis and reduction (with hydridic agents) of 2 leads to the dimeric complex, $[(C_5Me_5)Ru(CO)_2]_2$.

Hydroxycarbonyl and alkoxycarbonyl complexes (i.e., metallocarboxylic acids and their esters) of transition metals are of interest because of the proposed intermediacy of such complexes in catalytic processes relating to carbon monoxide conversion, which are becoming increasingly well-known [1]. Here we report on the isolation and some reactions of hydroxycarbonyl- and alkoxycarbonyl-ruthenium(II) complexes.

Our results are summarized in Scheme 1, and the selected spectral data of the hydroxy- and alkoxy-carbonyl complexes are listed in Table 1.

Treatment of the tricarbonylruthenium cationic complex 1 [2] with sodium methoxide in toluene at ambient temperature gave a yellow slurry. Removal of the solvent in vacuo, extraction with n-pentane followed by cooling of the concentrated extract to -20 °C afforded the methoxycarbonylruthenium(II) complex 2a in 58% yield as yellow prisms. Analogously the complexes 2b-2d were synthesized by reaction of 1 with EtONa, ⁱPrONa, or ¹BuOK, respectively.

In the IR spectrum, complexes 2 exhibit two characteristic absorption bands for terminal CO stretching vibration, at 2010-2022 cm⁻¹ and 1961-1976 cm⁻¹. The absorptions for acyl-CO appear in the region 1634-1641 cm⁻¹ and are well within the usual range for η^1 -acyls of metallocarboxylates [1]. The ¹³C NMR shifts of the carbonyl carbons are also consistent with the presence of COOR groups. The resonances around δ 202 ppm are tentatively assigned to terminal carbonyl carbons



Scheme 1. (i) RO⁻, C₆H₅CH₃; (ii) Na₂CO₃, H₂O, C₆H₅CH₃; (iii) CH₃NO₂ or Et₂O; (iv) CF₃SO₃CH₃, CH₂Cl₂; (v) HBF₄, Et₃OBF₄, or CF₃SO₃SiMe₃, CH₂Cl₂; (v) LiBEt₃H or BH₃, THF; (vii) $h\nu$, C₆H₆.

because the intensity of the signals is stronger than that observed at δ 191 ppm, by a factor of ca. 2. The signal at δ 191 ppm disappears after the reaction with CF₃SO₃CH₃ which leads to the carbene complexes 4. The complexes were further characterized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and elemental analyses.

Reaction of tricarbonyl 1 with water in toluene in the presence of Na_2CO_3 yielded a hydroxycarbonyl complex 3 as colorless needles. The OH stretching band observed at 2701 cm⁻¹ is indicative for the dimeric or oligomeric structure of 3 via hydrogen bonds. The hydroxycarbonyl complex 3 decomposes smoothly to monohydride 5 at ambient temperature in diethyl ether or nitromethane; however, the stoichiometry of the decomposition could not be clarified.

<u> </u>	R	$IR^{a} (cm^{-1})$		¹ H NMR ^{b} (ppm, J in Hz)		¹³ C NMR ^b (ppm)	
		$\overline{\nu(CO)_{t}}^{c}$	$\nu(CO)_a^d$	$\delta(C_5Me_5)$	δ(R)	$\overline{\delta(CO)_t}^c$	$\delta(CO)_a^d$
2a	Me	2022 1961	1641	1.61	3.58	202.1	192.0
2b	Et	2015	1641	1.63	4.26 (q, J 7.1)	202.2	191.5
		1976			1.15 (t, J 7.1)		
2c	ⁱ Pr	2014	1634	1.63	5.33 (sept, J 6.4)	202.3	191.0
		1963			1.21 (d, <i>J</i> 6.4)		
2d	^t Bu	2010 1968	1637	1.65	1.53	202.4	191.3
3	Н	2014 1963	1602	1.97 ^e	7.98 ^e	ſ	ſ

Table 1 Selected spectral data for $(C_5Me_5)Ru(CO)_2(COOR)$

^{*a*} Measured in KBr. ^{*b*} Measured in C₆D₆. ^{*c*} Terminal CO. ^{*d*} Acyl CO. ^{*e*} Measured in CD₂Cl₂. ^{*f*} Spectrum could not be obtained because of the instability of **3** in solution.

The alkoxycarbonyl complexes 2 react with a variety of substrates under mild conditions. The low frequency of the acyl-CO stretching of 2 which suggests that the bonding in alkoxycarbonyl complexes involves a contribution from a carbene-like resonance hybrid $(C_5Me_5)Ru=C(OMe)(O^-)$, which is quenched by reaction with electrophiles. Treatment of 2 with methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate in dichloromethane at ambient temperature gave the cationic dialkoxycarbene complexes 4a-4c, tricarbonyl complex 1, and ROMe. The carbene complexes 4 are formed via electrophilic attack of Me⁺ on the acyl oxygen atom of 2. In contrast, Cutler et al. reported that an iron analogue of 2, CpFe(CO₂Me)(CO)₂, could not be converted to a carbene complex [CpFe=C(OMe)₂(CO)₂]CF₃SO₃ by treatment with CF₃SO₃Me [3].

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR parameters of the carbene ligands of **4a**–**4c** characterize their structural types. The resonances of methoxy protons of **4** are shifted downfield considerably, δ 4.28 ppm for **4a**, δ 4.28 ppm for **4b**, and δ 4.25 ppm for **4c**, compared with that of the methoxycarbonyl complex **2a**, δ 3.58 ppm. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **4b**, the carbene-carbon resonance appears at 239.8 ppm which is comparable with the values for [CpFe=C(OMe)₂(CO)₂]PF₆ (δ 251.9 ppm) [4], for [CpFe=C(OMe)₂(CO)₂]CF₃SO₃ [5] (δ 251.8 ppm), and for [CpFe=C(OMe)(OEt)-(CO)₂]CF₃SO₃ [5] (δ 250.4 ppm).

Addition of other electrophiles such as HBF_4 , Et_3OBF_4 , or $CF_3SO_3SiMe_3$ to ester 2 in dichloromethane gave the cationic tricarbonyl complex 1 quantitatively by electrophilic attack on the alkoxy oxygen.

Reaction of 2 with excess LiBEt₃H or BH₃(THF) in tetrahydrofuran gave $[(C_5Me_5)Ru(CO)_2]_2$ [6] via the monohydride 5 as an intermediate, which was confirmed by monitoring the reaction by ¹H NMR. The dimeric complex 6 was also obtained as sole non-volatile product in the photolysis reaction of 2a in benzene.

References

1 (a) A.C. Harkness and J. Halpern, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 1258; (b) S. Nakamura and J. Halpern, ibid., 83 (1961) 4102; (c) J.E. Bercaw, L.Y. Goh, and J. Halpern, ibid., 94 (1972) 6534; (d) R.

Pettit, C. Maudlin, T. Cole, and H. Kang, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 295 (1977) 151; (e) P.C. Ford, Acc. Chem. Res., 14 (1981) 31; (f) T. Kruck and M. Noack, Chem. Ber., 97 (1964) 1693; (g) A.J. Deeming and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1969), 443; (h) T.G. Appleton and M.A. Bennet, J. Organomet. Chem., 55 (1973) C88; (i) E.G. Brvan, A.L. Burrows, B.F.G. Johnson, J. Lewis, and G.M. Schiavon, ibid., 129 (1977) C19; (i) C.P. Casey, M.A. Andrews, and J.E. Rinz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 741; (k) N. Grice, S.C. Kao, and R. Pettit, ibid., 101 (1979) 1627; (l) M. Catellani and J. Halpern, Inorg. Chem., 19 (1980) 566; (m) D.H. Gibson, W.-L. Hsu, and F.U. Ahmed, J. Organomet. Chem., 215 (1981) 379; (n) P. Eilbracht and E. Henkes, Chem. Ber., 114 (1981) 1559; (o) J.R. Sweet and W.A.G. Graham, Organometallics, 1 (1982) 982; (p) W. Tam, G.-Y. Lin, W.K. Wong, W.A. Kiel, V.K. Wong, and J.A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 141; (q) J.G. Alton and L.A.P. Kane-Maguire, J. Organomet. Chem., 246 (1983) C23; (r) C.F. Barrientos-Penna, A.B. Gilchrist, and D. Sutton, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1265; (s) M.A. Bennet and A. Rocicki, J. Organomet. Chem., 244 (1983) C31; (t) D.H. Gibson, K. Owens, and T.-S. Ong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106 (1984) 1125; (u) D.H. Gibson, T.-S. Ong, Organometallics, 3 (1984) 1911; (v) P.L. Burk, D.V. Engen, and K.S. Campo, ibid., 3 (1984) 493; (w) M.A. Bennet and A. Rokicki, ibid., 4 (1985) 180; (x) M.A. Lilga and J.A. Ibers, ibid., 4 (1985) 590.

- 2 (a) G.O. Nelson, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1474; (b) G.O. Nelson and C.E. Sumner, ibid., 5 (1986) 1983.
- 3 T. Forschner, K. Menard, and A. Cutler, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1984) 121.
- 4 M.H. Quick and R.J. Angelici, J. Organomet. Chem., 160 (1978) 231.
- 5 F.B. McCormick and R.J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem., 20 (1981) 1111.
- 6 R.B. King, M.Z. Iqbal, and A.D. King, Jr., J. Organomet. Chem., 171 (1979) 53.